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## Director's Note

Q. How to apply the tables in the field?

Ans. Professionally well trained staff can make the application of tables as per prescriptions described in the body of publication. For the field staff who may be less qualified the following simplified note is being provided.
The main ready reckoner for use by the subordinate field staff is table No. 10 contained in the publication. The table is derived from data collected from 479 Teak trees, belonging to various heights and g.b.h. classes in S. Chhindwara Forest Division. The tabulated volume figures have been compared by the authors with the actual volume of the aforesaid basic trees and found to be within acceptable limits of accuracy. It is, therefore, not necessary again to test the table for applicability to South Chhindwara Forest Division, when the division as a whole or a large terrain bearing all the quality classes (M.P. II, III \& IVa) of Teak forest is taken into account. In such tracts of the divisions the table can be directly applied by g.b.h./height measurements.
When the tables are intended to be applied to a particular area in South Chhindwara Division or in other Chhindwara Division viz. East and West Chhindwara Division bearing one and the same quality class crop, the applicability of tables to such areas shall be tested before use as under:
(1)

Fell 4 to 5 teak trees in each g.b.h./ height class as given in table 10 . Before felling such trees g.b.h. (o.b.), g.b.h. (u.b.) shall be recorded and position of breast height marked in
two opposite directions.
(2) Measure total heights and make other necessary measurements on the felled trees by d.b.h./height classwise so that its total timber volume (u.b.) (standard stem timber plus branch timber) and stem small wood volume (o.b.) could be calculated. The measurements and the calculations shall be done in metric system.
(3) From step 2 calculate average timber volume (u.b.) in odms. of a mean tree for each g.b.h./height class and compare it with corresponding tabulated values given in table no.10, if the calculated values fall within corresponding confidence intervals (column $3,5,7,9$ or 11 as the case may be), the table 10 is directly applicable to the tract under consideration, otherwise table can not directly be applied.
(4) When the tables are intended to be applied elsewhere out of Chhindwara forest Division the same test procedure as discussed above in steps 1 to 3 shall be applied before use.
(5) It table 10 is found applicable to the tract, table 11 (which is a subsidiary of table 10) can also be directly applied for stem small wood and branch small wood volumes.
In case the average calculated volume figures do not fall within corresponding confidence interval of table 10 fresh local volume tables will have to be derivedfrom the existing table 10 . For compilation of such local volume tables each type of locality should be separately dealt with. The procedure in brief for deriving local volume table
is as under:
(1) From table 10 draw smooth volume curves for each height class (given in first line), by taking g.b.h. classes (given in first column along ' $x$ ' axis) and volume figures along ' $y$ ' axis. All the sets of volume curves shall be drawn on the same graph sheet taking common ' $x$ ' axis for g.b.h. classes. Mid values of g.b.h. classes shall be plotted along ' $x$ ' direction and corresponding volume figures for each height class along 'y' direction. For each height class a smooth volume curve will thus be obtained.
(2) In each g.b.h. class (given in first column of table 10) select 4 to 5 typical trees and carefully measure their total height and g.b.h. The trees selected should conform with the following specifications :
(a) They should have, as nearly as can be judged the average height of dominant trees of their g.b.h. class for the particular site quality.
(b) They should be as widely and as regularly distributed as possible
over the whole area in question.
(3) Calculate the average of height and g.b.h. for each g.b.h. class and draw a smooth height/g.b.h. curve.
(4) From this curve read heights corresponding to middle values of g.b.h. classes given in column 1 of table 10.
(5) Heights obtained at step 4 should be interpolated, between the general volume curves obtained at step 1, at the corresponding g.b.h., and a smooth curve drawn through the points.
(6) The volumes corresponding to the middle of g.b.h. classes should be read from this interpolated curve and tabulated as local volume tables: These local volume tables can be used for the area under consideration.

Whenever conversion from diameter values to corresponding girth values or vice versa is required table no. 12 can be freely used.
D. 2.4.1971

Director
State Forest Research Institute Jabalpur
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Teak (Tectona grandis) the paragon of timbers is finding immense use and its demand is ever increasing. The utilisation percent of a teak tree has increased substantially and even its small wood which was, hither to considered as useless, has been now finding pronounced demands in the markets. Therefore assessment of estimates of the quantity of timber available from a teak tree in any of the specified girth-height class within permissible reliance limit is the paramount need in the efficient, systematic and scientific management of the forests.

Wide variations in the quantity of timber assessed in the standing crop and the actual quantity obtained after felling in the coupes is a common experience. This variation contributes one of the major cause in the siznificant gaps between the upset prices calculated and the final bids offered in auctions. The utility of-local volume tables as a means to assess the cubical contents of the standing crops within the acceptable limits needs no emphasis.

Calculation of more reliable ones based on statistical considerations was taken up in the South Chhindwara Division in preference to the existing ones, since the latter were not based on statistical design.

## II. SALIENT FEATURES OF THE TRACT

An area of $897.94 \mathrm{Sq} . \mathrm{Km}$. (346.60 Sq.miles) of the division is covered by reserved forests and 385.10 Sq.km. ( 148.49 Sq.miles) by protected forests. The entire area is hilly, characterised by the main valleys of Pench and Kanhan rivers. The hill tops area are often flat and constitute extensive plateau.

The Chief Geological formations are Deccan trap and gneiss with small patches of Sausar Series, granites, pegmatites, Gondwana and intra trappean formations. Varieties of Goils from reddish shallow lateritic loam, in the trap zone to alluvium deposits in the valleys of Kanhan and Pench are met with. Average annual rainfall ranges between ( $35^{\prime \prime}$ to $41^{\circ}$ ) $889,1041 \mathrm{~mm}$., though periods of heavy droughts and heavy rainfall are also experienced in between the normal years.
'Teak' is the main species of the Division. It is a deciduous tree with rounded crown and under favourable conditions with clear bole, which is often buttressed or fluted at the base. Trap zone contains well 'stocked teak forests of III to IVa quality (M.P. site quality) and occassionally M.P. site quality II. Teak forms a varying proportion between 20 percent to 80
percent of the crop. The upper slopes in general contain mixed forests with poor quality teak and some times without teak. in gneiss zone, teak forests of M.P. II \& III quality are found and the fresh alluvium contains M.P. quality 1 forests. The proportion of teak fluctuates from 40 percent to 60 percent and density of stocking is almost full. In this zone mixed forests with little teak also occur.

The type of forests belongs to Southern tropical dry deciduous forests according to Champion's classification. The teak forests are managed under teak conversion

## Height classes classes

1. 9 to under 12 metres
2. 12 to under 15 metres
3. 15 to under 18 metres
4. 10 to under 21 metres
5. 21 to under 24 metres
6. 15 to 20 Cms .
7. 21 to 30 Cms .
8. 31 to 40 Cms .
9. 41 to 50 Cms .
10. 51 to 60 Cms .

## IV. ALLOTMENT AND DISTRIBUTION OF SAMPLE TREES

The past experience indicated that collection of volume data from 400 to 500 trees well distributed in the whole range of girth and height classes of the division would lead to the results within fair degree of accuracy. Accordingly and in absence of any previous data it was considered fairly satisfactory to collect standard out-turn data from 479 leak trees covering evently the whole range of girth and height classes of the division. The allotment of sample trees to the reserved and protected and then further to various working circles was made rateably on the basis of areas. They were stratified into felling series and the latter were sub-stratified into groups of compartment containing nature, middleaged and young crops. Compartments in
working circle (area 36238.6 ha and rotation 100 years), Coppice with Reserve Working circle (area 35966.4 ha and rotation 40 years) and Selection cum Improvement Working Circle (area 20821.4 ha. selection girth 120 and 135 cms .).

## III. GRANDING OF SAMPLE TREES

Considering the shape, size and form of teak trees in the division and the classification in vogue in the local markets. the sample trees were graded into following gith and height classes in the metric units. Corresponding M.P. quality IVb

## IVa

0.5 II
1.5 III
0.5 II

Girth Classes
6.61 to 80 Cms .
7.81 to 100 Cms .
8.101 to 120 Cms ,
9.121 to 150 Cms .
10.151 to 180 Cms .
each sub-stratums were selected on restricted random principles and sample trees of specified girth and height groups were allotted to these selected compartments.

Thus 173 trees were allotted to teak compartments working circle and 69 trees to selection-cum-improvement working and 146 trees to Coppice with reserves Working circle of the Reserved forests. The protected forests consist of 46 felling series and out of this only 25 felling series were sampled randomly and 91 teak trees were allotted to these randomly selected felling series.

The distribution of sample trees by girth, height and localities is shown in table no. 1.

## TABLE 1

Distribution of sample trees for stem small wood volumes

| Girth <br> Class <br> in Cms. | Height classes in metres |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $9 / 12$ <br> Felling <br> Series <br>  <br> No. of trees) | 12/15 <br> Felling <br> Series <br>  <br> No. of trees) | 15/18 <br> Felling <br> Series <br>  <br> No. of trees) | 18/21 <br> Felling <br> Series <br>  <br> No.of trees) | 21/24 <br> Felling <br> Series <br>  <br> No.of trees) | Total <br> Felling <br> Series <br> Comptt.No. ${ }^{2}$ <br> No.of trees) |
| 1. | 2 | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. |
|  | Bhimalgondi <br> H.F. 199 (I) <br> Panch H.F. <br> 279 (I) <br> Kanhan C.W.R. <br> 102 (I) <br> Manikhapa C.W.A. <br> 108 (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-20 | Majiapar C.W.R. <br> 187 (I) Ramudhana S.C.I. <br> 80 (I) 82 (I) Boria S.C.I. <br> 211 (I) Thuepani S.C.I. <br> 237 (I) 241 (I) Sank S.C.I. <br> 285 (3) Marram Adhoc <br> (I) Nandewani Adhoc <br> (I) Ionangi Adhoc <br> (I) Forest Village Narayanghat 86 (I) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total - | 18 Tree |  |  |  |  | 18 |
|  | Raghadevi H.F. 215 (1) Borpani H.F. 35 (I) Raghadevi H.F. 215 (1) Amla H.F. 164 (2) | Bhudkum H.F. 243 (1) Amla H.F. 169 (2) Bhudkum H.F. 225 (1) Borpani H.F. 34 (I) |  | - |  |  |
| 21/30 | Majiapar <br> C.W.R. 187 (I) <br> Nandhudhana <br> C.W.R. 195 (I) <br> Teegaon <br> C.W.R. 3 (1) <br> Thota <br> C.W.R. 102 <br> (I) 103 (I) | Gajandoh <br> H.F. 44 A (1) <br> Kanhan <br> C.W.R. 103 (I) <br> Mainikhapa <br> C.W.R. <br> 108 (1) |  |  |  |  |


| 1. | 2 | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kanhan | Thota |  |  |  |  |
|  | C.W.R. | C.W.R. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 102 (1) | 277 (1) |  |  |  |  |
|  | 102 (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 103 (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Palaspani | Nandudhana |  |  |  |  |
|  | C.W.R. | C.W.R. |  |  |  |  |
|  | $244 \text { (I) }$ | $160 \text { (i) }$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bhudkum |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | H.F. 222 (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mainikhapa |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | C.W.R. 104 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (2) 108 (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ramudhana | Ramudhana |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\text { S.C.I. } 80 \text { (1) }$ | S.C.I |  |  |  |  |
|  | 81 (1) | 81 (1) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boria S.C.I. | Boria S.C.I., |  |  |  |  |
|  | $211 \text { (1) }$ | $211 \text { (1) }$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Thuepani | Khadveli |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.C.I. 237(1) | Adhoc (1) |  |  |  |  |
|  | $241 \text { (1) }$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dhutmur |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.C.I. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $294(1)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sank S.C.I. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $285 \text { (2) }$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tekapur |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kauli | Mohpani |  |  |  |  |
|  | Adhoc (1) A | Adhoc (1) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nandewani |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Adhoc (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lohangi Adhoc | c (1) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wadda Adhoc | (1) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Borpani H.F. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 34 (1), 35 (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Boria S.C.I. (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pench H.F. 279 | 79 (1) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dhawalpur |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Adhoc (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total : | 25 Trees 14 | 14 Trees |  |  |  | 49 |




| 1. | 2 | 3. | 4.5 | 6. | 7. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51/60 | Gajandoh H.F. 43 A (1) | Gajandoh H.F. 43 A (2) | Gajandoh $\text { H.F. } 36 \text { A (1) } 40 \text { (2) }$ |  |  |
|  | Bhimalgondi H.F. 196 (1) | Bhimalgondi H.F. 192 (1) | Borpani H.F. $69 \mathrm{~A}(1)$ |  |  |
|  | Borpani H.F. $49 \text { (1) }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pench H.F. } \\ & 266(1) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Penon H.F } \\ & 255 \text { A (1) } \\ & 243 \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 265 \text { (1) } \\ & \text { Amla H.F. } \\ & 169 \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Kumbhpani C.W.R. 283 (1) | Kumbhpani C.W.R. 278 (1) | Kumbhpani <br> C.W.R. 282 (1) |  |  |
|  | Nandudhana C.W.R. 195 (1) | Temni C.W.R. 114 (1) | Jamrapani <br> C.W.R. 214 (2) |  |  |
|  | Dera C.W.R. $29 \text { (1) }$ | Dera $30(1)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 204 \text { (1) } \\ & 204 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | Tekapar S.C.I 210 (1) | Gumtara S.C.I.257A (1) | Teegaon 2 (1) Tekapar S.C.I. |  |  |
|  | Narayanghat | $\begin{aligned} & 259(1) \\ & \text { S.C.I. } 73(1) \\ & \text { S.C.I. } 210(1) \end{aligned}$ | $208 \text { (1) } 209(1)$ <br> Tekapar |  |  |
|  | Umrighat Adhoc (1) | Narayanghat S.C.I. 75 (1) |  |  |  |
|  | Boria S.C.I. $211 \text { (1) } 212(2)$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Amakuhi <br> Adhoc (1) | Umrighat Adhoc (1) | Umrighat Adhoc (1) |  |  |
|  | Boragaon <br> Adhoc (1) | Borgaon <br> Adhoc (1) | Khadbeli <br> Adhoc (1) |  |  |
|  | Jobni <br> Adhoc (10) | Jobni <br> Adhoc (1) |  |  |  |
|  | Siratha <br> Adhoc (1) | Siratha <br> Adhoc (1) |  |  |  |
|  | Khadbeli <br> Adhoc (1) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Khadbeli Adhoc (2) |  |  |  |  |
| . | Amakuhi Adhoc (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Total-14 trees |  | 26 Trees | 18 Trees |  | 58 |



| 1. | 2 | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gajandoh H.F. 36 A (1) 40 (1) Chicholi Bhuli CWR. 14 (1) | Amla H.F. 165 (1) <br> Bimalgondi <br> H.F. 199 (1) <br> CWR. 8 (2) | Gajandoh H.F. 38 (1) 45 A (1) Raghadei Chicholi Borpani H.F. 49(1) | Bhimlagondi H.F. 199 (1) Pench H.F. 271 (1) <br> H.F. 215 (1) |  |
|  |  | Tekapar SCl. 210 (1) | Tekapar SCI. 209(1) Gajandoh | Bhimalgondi H.F. 190 (1) |  |  |
|  |  | Nandewani | H.F. 43 A | 198 (1) |  |  |
|  |  | Adhoc (1) | (1) $45 \mathrm{~A}(2)$ | Gummaj |  |  |
|  |  | Chakara | Raghadei | CWR. 247 (1) |  |  |
|  |  | Adhoc (1) | H.F. 215 (1) | Nandudhana |  |  |
|  |  | Badda Adhoc | 216 (1) | CWR. 195 A | (2) |  |
|  |  | (1) | Bhimalgondil | Bhuli CWA 11 | 1(1) |  |
|  |  | Khadbell | H.F. 197 (1) |  |  |  |
|  |  | Adhoc (1) | 200 (1) | Tekapar SCI. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 210 (1) |  |  |
| 81/100 |  | Elkapar | Borpani H.F. |  |  |  |
|  |  | CWR.220(1) | $35(1) 49$ (1) | Thuepani |  |  |
|  |  | Comptt. | Amla H.F. 2 | 242 (1) |  |  |
|  |  | 238 (1) | 163 (1) B | Boria SCl. |  |  |
|  |  | Thuepani | Bhuli CWR. 2 | 213 (1) |  |  |
|  |  | S.C.I. | 10 (1) E | Elkapar CWR |  |  |
|  |  | Umrighat | Nandhudhana |  | 230 (1) |  |
|  |  | Adhoc (1) | CWR.161(1)S | Singardeep |  |  |
|  |  |  | 162 (1) | CWR. 260 (1) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Elkapar CWR 220 (2) 231 (1) Boria SCl. 213 (2) <br> Badda Ad. (1 <br> Umrighat <br> Adhod (2) <br> Amakuhi <br> Adhoc (2) | R. <br> (1) <br> 1) |  |  |
| Total- | 12 Trees | 27 Trees | 16 Trees 2 | 2 Trees | . |  |




## ABSTRACT

(1) No. of trees in High Forest Conversion Working Circle (H.F.) 173
(2) No. Of trees in Coppice with Reserves Working Circle (C.W.R.) 146
(3) No. of trees in Selection-CumImprovement Working Circle (S.C.I.) 69
(4) No. of trees in Adhoc Fellinh series (Ad.)

Total-

## V. COLLECTION OF FIELD DATA.

Following measurements were recorded in the prescribed F.R.I. form No. 28 on each sample tree.
(i) Two diameters over bark and under bark at breast height were measured in Cms. nearest to 2 mm .
(ii) Total height was measured in meters nearest to one tenth of a metre.
(iii) Two diameters O.B./U.B. at mid height and at half height above 1.37 metres.
(iv) Bark thickness in Cms, at B.H., Crown-length, height of first green branch and height of green branches alround in metres nearest to $1 / 10$ th metre were recorded.
(v) Number of rings on stumps and height of stump in Cms. nearest to 2 mm . were recorded.
(vi) The length from ground level to a point where d.b.h. (OB.) is 20 Cms. was divided into sections of 3 metres each, the last section not exceeding 4.5 m , and, not lesser than 1.5 mm . at the mid point of each section. The bole length between 20 Cm . over bark and 5 Cms . over bark i.e. standard small-wood bole was divided into sections and measurements recorded on similar
lines as that of standard timber bole section. Branches containing timber and small wood were measured as per procedure followed for stem bole.

## VI. METHOD ADOPTED IN PREPARING STANDARD VOLUME TABLE

The graphical method was rejected for the purposes of stem and branch timber calculations because of its too subjective nature and personal bias involved in fitting the free hand curves. The regression methods are not only free from above defects but also ensure assessment of accuracy and dependability of the volume tables and therefore were adopted in the present study. Regression methods for total wood (stem and branch timber stem small wood) were tried for each height class. The regression of total wood over basal area for individual teak tree within a particular height class showed significancy at 0.0001 probability level. But when the regression of regression coefficients of volume basal area lines were tested against average height of individual height class, it was found that they were non-signiticant for even 0.05 probability level. Therefore an attempt for estimating the stem small wood by deducting stem and branch timber from total wood had to be rejected. For the purposes of stem and branch small wood volumes, the usual graphical methods had to be adopted, for the did not exibit any known mathematical models. With a view to facilitate they field staff the present tables were based on usual measurements of breast height girth and total height.
(2) A study of the available data indicated that high degree of positive correlation exists between the stem branch timber volume combined and basal area lie sectional area at breast height of individual trees within a particular height class. Data for $9 /$ 12 metre height class were scanty and as such well defined path of the curve is not visualised in the figure 1 . but the degree of correlation is sufficiently high. The correlation
coefficients and determination coefficients for the various height classes were found to be as under, The determination coeffients show that 82 present to 94 percent volume in the stem volume for various height classes is attributed to corresponding basal areas considered as $\pi r^{2}$ at breast height and the rest may be accounted for the deviation in the stem form from the circular shape.

TABLE 2.
Coefficient of correlation between stem branch timber volume and basal area of teak trees.

| Height Class <br> (metres.) | Correlation <br> coefficient. | Significance | Determination <br> coefficient. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $9 . \angle 12$ | +0.892 | Highlysignificant at 0.001 <br> probability level. | 0.80 |
| $12-\angle 15$ | +0.958 | do | 0.92 |
| $15-\angle 18$ | +0.967 | do | 0.94 |
| $18-\angle 21$ | +0.941 | do | 0.88 |
| $21-\angle 24$ | +0.954 | do | 0.92 |

(3) Further, the regression of stem + branch volume combined on basal area was found to be linear for trees of a given height class, except for $9 . \angle 12$ metres height class where the relationship appears to deviate from straight line in the figure 1 merely because of non-availability of data for 81 Cms and above girth classes. The significancy of the regression coefficient for this height class i.e. 9. $\angle 12$ metres at less than. 001 probability level goes to prove that the straight line relationship holds good in that case too.
(4) The linear relationship of the regression of stem branch timber volume combined over basal area for each height class is further
evidenced from figures 1 to 5 . Some of the points belonging to abnormal group and showing large deviations from the relationship can also be spotted out in above figures.
(5) The significance of the regression coeflicients for each height class were tested in each case and they were found to be highly significant on a probability much less than 0.001 probability level. This shows that there is definite increases in the stem + branch timber volume corresponding to an increase in the basal area of the teak trees in a particular height group. The table reproduced below indicate the position of significance of regression coefficeints to for various height classes.

TABLE NO. 3
Analysis of Variance

| Height <br> Class | Degree of <br> freedom | Regression <br> coeficient | Standard error <br> of regression <br> coefficients. | Significance. |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $9-\angle 12 \mathrm{~m}$ | 23 | 39.53242 | 4.169 | Highly significant at <br> (001 probability level. |
| $12-\angle 15 \mathrm{~m}$ | 43 | 51.52880 | 2.338 | No |
| $15 . \angle 18 \mathrm{~m}$ | 81 | 65.37618 | 1.903 | do |
| $18-\angle 21 \mathrm{~m}$ | 68 | 63.37614 | 2614 | do |
| $21-\angle 24 \mathrm{~m}$ | 31 | 83.76695 | 4.533 | do |

## VII. COMPUTATION OF VOLUME TABLES.

## (i) Individual Tree Computations. Volume in the round

Two mid diameters under bark recorded at the mid length for each-log of the timber bole were averaged. Stem timber volume under bark for each section was calculated by multiplying the full sectional area $\left(\pi r^{2}\right)$ at the mid point and the length of the log.

The under bark volumes of item timber logs were added to arrive at the total stem timber volume under bark of a tree in the round. Similarly the branch timber volume (u.b.) and stem and branch small wood volume (o.b.) were determined separately for each tree.

## (ii) Classification of AVERAGING

The trees were classified and grouped into Five height classes viz $9 / 12 \mathrm{~m}, 12 / 15$ $\mathrm{m}, 18 / 21 \mathrm{~m}$, and $21 / 24 \mathrm{~m}$, for the purposes of stem+ branch timber calculation. Classitication by 5 height and 9 girth classes as per gradings described earier were done for the purposes of stem and branch small-wood calculations. Averages were worked out for stem small-wood (u.b.) and branch small-wood (o.b.) volumes separately for each group. But for the estimation of timber volumes, individual volume and basal area were considered.
(iii) Method of Compilation.
(A) Stem \& branch Timber volume.
(1) The total of stem and branch timber volume of each tree within a height class was plotted over its basal area. The relationship adquately approximated to be a linear (See tig. 1 to 5), within each height class. Hence, a straight line regression equation, indicated below, was fitted to the data for each height class separately.
$Y=a+b x-$-(1)
The above equation may be written in the modified form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=y+b(x-x) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $Y=$ extimated stem + branch timber volume (u.b.) of a teak tree.
$X$ - Basal area of a teak at breast height.
© - Regression Constant
b- Regression coefficient
$y=$ Mean stem + branch timber volume (u.b.) of a teak tree in a particular height class.
$\mathrm{x}=$ Mean basal area of a teak tree in particular height class.
(2) The values of regression coefficients and regression constants within each hr -itclass werefound to be as under:-

TABLE No. 4

| Height Class <br> (meters) | Actual mean <br> height of the <br> group (meters) | Regression <br> coefficients | Regression <br> constants. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $9-\angle 12$ | 11.7 | 39.53242 | -00.77934 |
| $12-\angle 15$ | 14.2 | 51.52880 | -113.38537 |
| $15-\angle 18$ | 16.7 | 65.37618 | -154.77053 |
| $18-\angle 21$ | 19.1 | 63.37614 | -105.96140 |
| $21-\angle 24$ | 22.5 | 83.76695 | -172.75721 |

(3) The regression coefficient for each height class was found to be progressively increasing with the increase in height except 18- $\angle 21$ metres height group. Similarly, the regression constant for each height class was found to be progressively decreasing with the increase of height except $18-\angle 21$ metres heightclass.
The exception indication an abnormality in the selection of trees and collection of field data in the $18-\angle 21$ metres heightclass.
(4) To harmonise such and other abnormalities, regression of ' b ' (regression coefficient on 'H' (Mean height) was determined. The nature of relationship was found to be more approximated to a straight line than any other curve.
(5) A straight line (regression) between the actual mean height.
(H) and corresponding regression coefficient (b) was taken as:-
$b^{\prime}=b+2 H-(3)$
Where b1 and b2 are coefficients of the equation and $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ is the adjusted regression coefficient.
The regression equation was obtained as:-
$\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{b}}=3.49124+3.81290 \mathrm{H}-\ldots$
From equation (4) adjusted values of regression coefficient denoted by ( $\mathrm{b}^{\text { }}$ ) were obtained against mid height
interval of each height class. The adjusted values of (a) denoted by (a') interim regression constant) were obtained for each height class by inserting the values of (b') in the equation (2).
(6) The abnormality existing in the basic data and consequently in the unadjusted regression coefficients was harmonised.
(7) A study of the adjusted interim regression constants (a') and actual mean height indicated a linear relationship except the value at $15-\angle 18 \mathrm{~m}$ height group. It appears that inspite of harmonisation of regression coetticient with the actual mean height, vide para 5 , the regression constant at $15-\angle 18 \mathrm{~m}$ height group could not take up a clearly defined trend. A straight line relationship between the values of (a') and corresponding mean heights was derived by excluding the abnormal value of (a') for $15 \angle 18$ metres height group. The straight line regression of $a^{\prime}$ on the actual mean height ( H ) was taken as:-
$a^{*}=\mathrm{al}+\mathrm{a} 2 \mathrm{H} . . .$. (5)
Where al and a2 are constants of the equation.
The line of the best fit (excluding $15-\angle 18$ metres height group) was found to be :-

$$
a^{*}=-31.60729-5.27436 \mathrm{H} \ldots(6)
$$

(8) For the final volume basal area linesthe modified values for (a') denoted by ( $\mathrm{a}^{\prime \prime}$ ) and for (b) denoted by b' were calculated against middle of the height interval including $15 \angle 18 \mathrm{~m}$
group from the equation (4) and (6) respectively. The finally modified values for regression coefficients and constants were fabulated in the table 5.

TABLE NO. 5

| Height <br> class <br> (metres) | Actual <br> mean <br> Height <br> (Metres) | Mid Height <br> (metres) | Adjusted <br> final reg- <br> ression co- <br> efficient (b'). | Interim <br> regression <br> constant. | Finally <br> adjusted <br> regression <br> constant. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $9-\angle 12$ | 11.7 | 10.5 | +39.68633 | -95.39830 | -86.98807 |
| $12-\angle 15$ | 14.2 | 13.5 | +47.98291 | -107.83317 | -102.81115 |
| $15-\angle 18$ | 16.7 | 16.5 | +59.42161 | -113.90957 | -118.63423 |
| $18-\angle 21$ | 19.1 | 19.5 | +70.86031 | -165.55150 | -134.45731 |
| $21 \angle 24$ | 22.5 | 22.5 | +82.29961 | -152.64646 | -150.280309 |

(9) A glance of the table 5...indicates that though the total deviations in the final value of regression constant is greater than the interim ones, yet the spun of the deviations has been reduced to a great extent in the
abnormal $15 \angle 18 \mathrm{~m}$ height group in particular and in other height groups in general.
(10) Finally the general volume basal area equation were obtained as :-
$y=a^{\circ}+b^{\prime} x$ and have been presented in table No, 6 ,

## TABLE NO. 6

FINAL VOLUM BASAL AREA LINES.


## B. STEM SMALL-WOOD VOLUME.

1. The stem small-wood volumes and basal areas of teak trees were grouped as per girth and height classifications separately and average values were worked out.
2. The average stem small-wood was plotted as dependent variable and average basal area as independent variable on the graph for each height class separately. The curves were harmonised and smooth free hand curves were drawn for each height class separately.
In few cases, where the average values could not lead to well defined curves, weightage was given to the number of points and smooth curves were drawn. (See fig 6). There were few points available for $9-\angle 12$ metres height class and there were the figures beyond 61.80 Cms . gbh. classes were based on extrapolations.
3. The curved values of stem small-wood volumes were read against corresponding average basal areas calculated for all the mid girth interval for $15-20 \mathrm{Cms}$ gbh. to $51-60$ Cms. gbh. were tabulated finally for the volume tables from the smoothened curves (See fig..6..). The remaining curved values for 61-80 Cms , and onwards gbh. classes for actual avcrage values were further and harmonised by expressing them as percentage of the corresponding stem +branch timber volumes calculated by regression methods (See part A).
4. These percentages were plotted against average basal areas correspanding to the mid points of the standard girth classes for each height class separately. The curves were harmonised and smooth curves were drawn for each height class. The
percentages showed very minor changes in few girth height classes and the majority of the girth heigint classes indicated no change in their original values.
5. The curved percentages from the above smoothened curves were read against corresponding basal areas for the standard mid-girth classes. The curved percentages were multiplied by the corresponding tinally tabulated stem + branch timber values and the product was divided by 100 . These calculated values of stem smallwood were replotted against the corresponding basal areas and smooth curves were drawn for each height class separately. Final values were read from these curves against corresponding basal areas for mid girth intervals and tabulated for the tables (See fig. 6.)

## C. BRANCH SMALL-WOOD.

1. The branch smallwood volumes and basal areas were grouped by standard girth and height classes as was done for stem smallwood. Average values of branch small wood and basal area for each group was calculated.
2. The average values of branch smallwood were plotted against average basal areas for each heightclass separately. The branch smallwood data presented much difficulties and at places the weightage of the number of points was given in determining the path of the curves. The harmonised and smoothened curves were drawn for each class separately.
3. The curved values were read against the basal areas corresponding to the mid-points of the standard girth classes and tabulated finaily for the volume tables (See fig..7).

## VIII. APPLICABILITY AND ACCURACY OF THE VOLUME TABLES.

1. The present tables will be applicable for all the height girth classes of the South Chhindwara Division containing large number of teak trees or stand as a whole within the accuracy limits mentioned here after. They are not expected to give exactly the same volume for individual trees because of the wide variance of the tree form met-with in nature for the same height and girth. But certainly they are expected to give accurate results within the prescribed accuracy when applied to large number of trees. The table can be applied safely to other localities too, provided a sample of teak trees representing all girth-
height classes closely agree with the Aggregate difference percent, average deviation percent and reliance interval of the basic tables. The sample should further satisfy the condition that its Aggregate difference should not exceed the quantity given by $2 \times$ Average Deviation of the tables where $n$ is the number of the trees in the sample. Local volume tables will have to be prepared for the locality it the above conditions are not satistied by it.
2. The accuracy of the tables pertaining to stem + branch timber and smallwood volumes was tested against the corresponding actual volumes of all the basic trees numbering 479 of the tables. The standard errors derived have been presented in the table No. 7.

TABLE NO. 7
Standard Errors of the Estimated stem + Branch Volume.

| Height class <br> (Metres) | Degrees of freedom | Standard Errors in <br> Cubic decimetres. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9-\angle 12$ | 23 | $\pm 15.01$ |
| $12-\angle 15$ | 43 | $\pm 56.49$ |
| $15-\angle 18$ | 81 | $\pm 76.03$ |
| $18-\angle 21$ | 68 | $\pm 109.72$ |
| $21-\angle 24$ | 31 | $\pm 120.24$ |

The reliance interval Stem+Branch timber volumes and the average volumes by girth-height classes have been furnished in the table No. 11.
3. The aggregate difference percent, and average deviation percent for the estimated stem + branch timber and stem small wool volume for the
various girth classes of the table have been presented in table No. 8. The branch small wood are considered as fuel out-turn and does not matter much in value considerations. Its accuracy, therefore, does not find place in the tables:-

TABLE NO. 8.
Aggregate difference and average deviation percentages.

| Height class (Metres) | Aggregate of estimated actuals. <br> Stem + Branch timber. | difference percent volume over <br> Stem+ branch smallwood. | Average deviation percent of estimated volume over actuals. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Stem +branch timber | Stem + branch small wood. |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. |
| $9 . \angle 12$ | +13.9\% | +4.67\% | 50.33\% | 24.85\% |
| 12- 215 | -5.60\% | -1.91\% | 17.83\% | 20.50\% |
| 15- $\angle 18$ | -2.94\% | +1.40\% | 16.88\% | $16.84 \%$ |
| $18 \cdot \angle 21$ | +8.55\% | -3.63\% | 16.01\% | 21.71\% |
| 21- 224 | +0.02\% | +7.63\% | 8.24\% | $25.07 \%$ |
| Total for the volume table | +1.64\% | +0.56\% | 17.12\% | 20.41\% |

3. The aggregate difference percent for stem + branch timber volume fluctuates with the height class and the same is observed for stem smallwoods. The Aggregate difference percent is well within the limits for stem+branch timber and small wood prescribed for the volume tables, though the values of average deviation percents have gone high.

## IX MINIMUM NUMBER OF <br> TREES REQUIRED FOR A SPECIFIED PRECISION.

It has been a common query to know the minimum number of observations required for a specified precision viz $\pm 10$ percent of the mean. An attempt has been made to utilize the basic data of present tables to meet this requirement.

Prior to construction of the present tables no data were available for the study of variability in timber and smallwood volume of teak trees occurring in the division. Therefore, the number of teak trees sampled in the present study had to be based on practical experience gathered with the working of teak in these forests.

To provide a statistical basis on this aspect, minimum number of trees required for a precision of $\pm 10$ percent of the mean have been calculated and the same has been furnished in table No. 10.

The minimum for girth classes 61-80 Cms. and above were based on stem+branch timber data and for girth classes below 60 Cms , were based on stem small wood data.

TABLE NO. 9
Minimum number of sample trees required for $a= \pm 10$ percent precision of the mean.

| Girth <br> Total for Classes (Cms.) | Minimum No. of sample trees required. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Height classes in metres. |  |  |  |  | girth class. |
|  | $9-\angle 12$ | 12- $\angle 15$ | 15- $\angle 18$ | 18- $\angle 21$ | 21- $\angle 24$ |  |
| 15-20 | $122$ | - | - | - | - | 122 |
| 21-30 | 75 | 51 | - | - | - | 126 |
| 31-40 | 39 | 27 | 5 | - | - | 71 |
| 41-50 | 21 | 25 | 26 | - | - | 72 |
| 51-60 | 16 | 17 | 10 | - | - | 43 |
| 61-80 | 90 | 143 | 130 | 96 | 5 | 464 |
| 81-100 | - | 23 | 44 | 24 | 49 | 140 |
| 101-120 | - | 22 | 15 | 19 | 12 | 68 |
| 121-150 | - | 27 | 15 | 12 | 7 | 61 |
| 150-180 | - | * | 1 | 11 | 7 | 19 |
| Total for Height class. | 363 | 335 | 246 | 462 | 80 | 1186 |

## XII. CUBICAL CONTENTS CF AN AVERAGE TEAK TREE OF DIFFERENT HEIGHT CLASSES.

An estimated average standard stem + branch timber volumes under bark with a confidence interval at 5 percent probability level have been furnished in Table 10. Thus out of every 20 teak trees, there will be 10 trees containing the standard stem timber volume (u.b.) within the upper and lower limits indicated against each height-girth class. These limits can be utilised in estimating the maximum and minimum standard stem +branch timber volumes available in a coupe or stand. Accordingly such limits can guide approxmately in the
calculation of maximum or minimum upset prices which a Forest Manager can accept in a public auction. The standard stem and branch smallwood volumes available from an average teak tree of particular height girth class have been presented in table 10 and 11.

Conversion tables for conversion of Girth to Diametre and Diameter to Basal area have been furnished in Table No, 13 \& 14 so that direct use of different height class regression equations for the estimation of standard stem timber volumes may be made speedily. A set of adjusted regression lines on graph paper have been appended for estimating standard stem timber volumes directly without going into elaborate calculations (See figures 1 to 7).
TABLE NO. 10.

Confidence interval has been calculated at $95 \%$ confidence limit.
The figures shown into brackets are based on extrapolations.
U.b. and Cu.dm. stands for under bark and cubic decimetres respectively.
TABLE NO. 11
STANDARD STEM AND BRANCH SMALL WOOD VOLUME OF

| Girth class in Cms. | Height class in Metres. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9-12Smallwood |  | $\begin{gathered} 12-15 \\ \text { Smallwood } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 15-18 \\ \text { Smallwood } \end{gathered}$ |  | 18-21Smallwood |  | 21-24Smallwood |  |
|  | Stem. | Branch | Stem | Branch | Stem | Branch | Stem | Branch | Stem | Branch |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. | 10. | 11. |
| 15-20 | 10.5 | - | - | - | - | $\bullet$ | - | - | - | - |
| 21-30 | 18.0 | - | 25.5 | - | - | - | * | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | - |
| 31.40 | 31.5 | - | 40.0 | - | 54.5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 41.50 | 72.0 | - | 80.0 | - | 136.0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 51.60 | 112.0 | - | 133.5 | 1.0 | 149.5 | 2.8 | - | - | - | - |
| 61.80 | 105.8 | 7.4 | 133.5 | 10.0 | 156.8 | 13.0 | 195.0 | 15.23 | 202.20 | 20.3 |
| 81.100 | 83.0 | 27.3 | 100.7 | 32.8 | 122.8 | 39.0 | 126.35 | 43.8 | 143.8 | 49.2 |
| 101.120 | (70.0) | (60.3) | 83.0 | 69.0 | 103.2 | 76.0 | 103.16 | B2.5 | 116.0 | 99.04 |
| 121.150 | $(60.0)$ | (134.0) | 70.0 | 142.0 | 83.0 | 150.0 | 84.8 | 155.7 | 87.8 | 175.7 |
| 151.180 | (58.0) | (221.2) | (65.0) | (226.0) | 71.2 | 240.5 | 80.5 | 240.3 | 90.8 | 265.0 |

Note:- The figures shown into brackets () are based on extrapolations.

TABLE NO. 12.
TECTONA GRANDIS (TEAK)
Girth/Diameter Conversion table
(Conversion Factor d/9=0.316)
Centimeters
Girth. $\quad$ Centimoteres of Girth.

Girth.

|  | 0 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Corresponding diameter in C.M.S. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 6.0 |
| 20 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 8.8 | 9.2 |
| 30 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 10.1 | 10.4 | 10.7 | 11.1 | 11.4 | 11.7 | 12.0 | 12.3 |
| 40 | 12.6 | 13.1 | 13.3 | 13.6 | 13.9 | 14.2 | 14.5 | 14.9 | 15.2 | 15.5 |
| 50 | 15.8 | 16.1 | 16.4 | 16.7 | 17.1 | 17.4 | 17.7 | 18.0 | 18.3 | 18.6 |
| 60 | 19.0 | 19.3 | 19.6 | 19.9 | 20.2 | 20.5 | 20.9 | 21.2 | 21.5 | 21.8 |
| 70 | 22.1 | 22.4 | 22.8 | 23.1 | 23.4 | 23.7 | 24.0 | 24.3 | 24.6 | 25.0 |
| 80 | 25.3 | 25.6 | 26.0 | 26.2 | 26.5 | 26.9 | 27.2 | 27.5 | 27.8 | 28.1 |
| 90 | 28.4 | 28.8 | 29.1 | 29.4 | 29.7 | 30.0 | 30.3 | 30.7 | 31.0 | 31.3 |
| 100 | 31.6 | 34.9 | 32.2 | 32.6 | 32.9 | 33.2 | 33.5 | 33.8 | 34.1 | 34.4 |
| 110 | 34.8 | 35.1 | 35.4 | 35.7 | 36.0 | 36.3 | 36.7 | 37.0 | 37.3 | 37.6 |
| 120 | 37.9 | 38.2 | 38.6 | 38.9 | 39.9 | 39.5 | 39.8 | 40.1 | 40.4 | 40.8 |
| 130 | 41.1 | 41.4 | 41.7 | 42.0 | 42.3 | 42.7 | 43.0 | 43.3 | 43.6 | 43.9 |
| 140 | 42.2 | 44.6 | 44.9 | 45.2 | 45.5 | 45.8 | 46.2 | 46.5 | 46.8 | 47.1. |
| 150 | 47.4 | 47.7 | 48.0 | 48.3 | 48.7 | 49.0 | 49.3 | 49.6 | 49.9 | 50.2 |
| 160 | 50.6 | 50.9 | 51.2 | 51.5 | 51.8 | 52.1 | 52.5 | 52.8 | 53.1 | 53.4 |
| $170^{*}$ | 53.7 | 54.0 | 54.4 | 54.7 | 55.0 | 55.5 | 55.6 | 55.9 | 56.2 | 56.6 |
| 180 | 56.9 | 57.2 | 57.5 | 57.8 | 68.2 | 58.5 | 58.8 | 59.1 | 59.4 | 59.7 |

Example:- Girth 158 C.M.S. Corresponds to 49.9 C.M.S. diameter.
TABLE NO. 13
Área of Circles of Diametres 1.0 Centimetre to 60.0 Centimetres.

| Diameter | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Centimetres |  | Area of Circle in Squire Meters. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00020 | 0.00023 | 0.00025 | 0.00028 |
| 1. | 0.00008 | 0.00010 | 0.00011 | 0.00013 | 0.00015 | 0. | 0.00020 | 0.00023 |  | 0.00066 |
| 2. | 0.00031 | 0.00035 | 0.00038 | 0.00042 | $0.00045$ |  | 0.00053 | 0.00057 | . 00062 | 0.00068 |
|  |  | 0.00075 | 0.00080 | 0.00086 | $0.00091$ | 0.00096 | 0.00102 | 0.00108 | 0.00113 | 0.00119 |
| 3. | 0.00071 |  |  |  |  | 0.00159 | 0.00166 | 0.00173 | 0.00181 | 0.00189 |
| 4. | 0.00136 | 0.00132 | 0.00139 | 0.00145 | 0.00152 |  | 0.00246 | 0.00255 | 0.00264 | 0.00276 |
| 5. | 0.00196 | 0.00204 | 0.00212 | 0.00221 | 0.00229 | 0.00238 | 0.00246 | 0.00255 |  | 0.00374 |
|  | 0.00283 | 0.00292 | 0.00302 | 0.00312 | 0.00322 | 0.00332 | 0.00342 | 0.00353 | . 00363 | .00374 |
| 6. | 0.00385 | 0.00396 | 0.00407 | 0.00419 | 0.00430 | 0.00442 | 0.00454 | 0.00466 | 0.00478 | 90 |
| 7. |  |  |  | 0.00541 | 0.00554 | 0.00567 | 0.00581 | 0.00594 | 0.00608 | 0.00622 |
| 8. | 0,00503 | 0.00515 | 0.00528 |  |  |  | 0.00724 | 0.00739 | 0.00754 | 0.00770 |
| 9. | 0.00638 | 0.00650 | 0.00665 | 0.00679 | 0.00694 | 0.0070 |  | 0.00739 | 0.00916 | 0.00933 |
| 10. | 0.00785 | 0.00801 | 0.00817 | 0.00833 | 0.00849 | 0.00366 | 0.00882 | 0.00999 | 0.00916 | 0.00933 |
|  | 0.00950 | 0,00968 | 0.00985 | 0.01003 | 0.01021 | 0.01039 | 0.01057 | 0.01075 | 0.01094 |  |
| 11. |  |  |  | 0.01188 | 0.01208 | 0.01227 | 0.01247 | 0.01267 | 0.01287 | 0.01307 |
| 12. | 0.01131 | 0.01150 | 0.01169 |  |  |  | 0.01453 | 0.01474 | 0.01496 | 0.01517 |
| 13. | 0.01327 | 0.01348 | 0.01368 | 0.01389 | 0.01410 | 0.04431 | 0.01453 | 0.01474 | 0.01720 | 0.01744 |
|  | 0.01539 | 0.01561 | 0.01584 | 0.01606 | 0.01629 | 0.01651 | 0.01674 | 0.0169 | 0.01720 |  |
| 14. |  | 0.01791 | 0.01815 | 0.01839 | 0.01863 | 0.01887 | 0.01911 | 0.01936 | 0.01961 | 986 |
| 15. | 0.01767 |  |  |  | 0.02112 | 0.02138 | 0.02164 | 0.02190 | 0.02217 | 0.02243 |
| 16. | 0.02011 | 0.02036 | 0.02061 | 0.02087 |  | 0.02138 | 0.02433 | 0.02461 | 0.02488 | 0.02516 |
|  | 0.02270 | 0.02297 | 0.02324 | 0.02351 | 0.02378 | 0.02405 |  |  |  |  | 0.03906

$$
0.10010
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\infty & \frac{9}{6} \\
\frac{4}{0} \\
\frac{1}{0} & \frac{5}{6}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\infty & \infty \\
\underset{\sim}{\infty} & \underset{\sim}{2} \\
\stackrel{N}{0} & \stackrel{1}{0}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { N } \\
\frac{\text { y }}{5} \\
\frac{5}{0} & \frac{1}{5}
\end{array}
$$




$$
0.08347
$$







N
$\stackrel{N}{N}$
0
0

| $\stackrel{1}{\Sigma}$ |
| :--- |
| \％ |
| 0 | N

O
O
0
0 サ
के
o
o $\begin{array}{ll}\text { y } & 0 \\ \text { D } & 0 \\ \text { O } & \text { ㅎ․ } \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$

 0.02630
 0.03237 M
0
8
8
0 0.04264 0.04638 0.05027 3
$\stackrel{3}{3}$
0
0 0
0
0
0
0 $\begin{array}{ll}8 & \text { \％} \\ 0 & \text { ¢ } \\ 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$ N
N
O
 क．
o
0
0
0 9
0
8
0 0.09787 के
\％
$\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$
$\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$
 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 1ㅏㅇ } & \text { n } & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ $\qquad$

### 0.04227

### 0.04600



$\begin{array}{cc}\text { क } & \text { R } \\ \text { H } & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$ M
$\stackrel{8}{\circ}$
0
0



0.02545 0.02835 0.03142 \＄9ヵE0 0 0.03831


$\downarrow 2950^{\circ} 0$ 0.04909 $0.005709 \quad 0.05350$ $0.05726 \quad 0.05768$ O
O
0
0
0 0.06651 $\stackrel{9}{\sim}$
$\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$
0
0 $\stackrel{9}{9}$
$\stackrel{5}{5}$
0

0 \begin{tabular}{l}
8 <br>
\hline 8 <br>
0 <br>
0

 

18 <br>
0 <br>
0 <br>
\hline <br>
0

 

$\stackrel{8}{\circ}$ <br>
$\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ <br>
\hline
\end{tabular} 4

0
0
0
0

 \begin{tabular}{c}
응 <br>
$\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ <br>
\hline-

 

$\overline{5}$ <br>
$\stackrel{5}{5}$ <br>
\hline
\end{tabular} $\hat{N}$

B
O
 $50990^{\circ} 0$


$62060^{\circ} 0$ $12960^{\circ} 0$

0.11341
0.11946
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